


UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA  
INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION

MALIBU MEDIA, LLC,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	No. 1:12-cv-01117-WTL-MJD
	)	
MICHAEL HARRISON,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
	)	

**ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF’S MOTION FOR ENTRY OF AN ORDER  
AUTHORIZING COMCAST TO COMPLY WITH A THIRD PARTY SUBPOENA**

This matter came before the Court upon Plaintiff’s Motion for Entry of an Order Authorizing Comcast to Comply with a Third Party Subpoena. [Dkt. 197.] Defendant filed a rambling response objecting to Plaintiff’s motion. [Dkt. 202.] However, Defendant’s arguments fail to overcome the overriding fact that Plaintiff has articulated why the discovery sought is relevant to a claim or defense in the case and is otherwise proper. Accordingly, the discovery sought is relevant and proper and Plaintiff’s Motion is hereby **GRANTED**. Plaintiff may serve a third party subpoena on Comcast and Comcast is authorized to comply with Plaintiff’s Subpoena *Duces Tecum* for deposition as outlined in Plaintiff’s Motion.

Date: 09/10/2014




---

Mark J. Dinsmore  
United States Magistrate Judge  
Southern District of Indiana

Distribution:

Anthony Jay Saunders  
ajsaunde@hotmail.com

Amy L. Cueller  
LEMBERG LAW, LLC  
acueller@leberglaw.com

David Scott Klinestiver  
LEWIS & KAPPES  
dklinestiver@lewis-kappes.com

Matthew S. Tarkington  
LEWIS & KAPPES, PC  
mtarkington@lewis-kappes.com

Paul J. Nicoletti  
NICOLETTI LAW, PLC  
paul@nicoletti-associates.com

Gabriel J. Quearry  
QUEARRY LAW, LLC  
gq@quearrylaw.com